IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1543 OF 2006.

Nathuram Shankar More ... Petitioner.
V/s.
The Collector, Satara & ors. .. Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1544 OF 2006.

Bhimrao T. Patil .. Petitioner.
vs.
The Collector, Satara & ors. .. Respondents.

Shri Uday Warunjikar for the petitioner.

Shri D.A. Patil, AGP for respondent No,1.

Shri Vihit Naik for respondent No.2.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 8.3.2006.

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners.

2. These petitions are directed against the order 30.1.2006 passed by the Collector, Satara, partly allowing the objections taken by the petitioner along with 4 other objectors to the voters list.

3. At this juncture, it will not be out of place to mention that 4 persons had raised objections to the voters list. Part of the objections were allowed. That was accepted by 3

persons whereas only one has filed the present petition. In this view of the matter, since the voters list has now become final, it would not be possible for this Court to examine validity of the impugned order at the instance of one petitioner.

4. Secondly, alternate remedy of filing election petition is available as such this Court is not inclined to entertain these petitions so as to examine merits of the matter.

5. Learned Counsel for the petitioners tried to raise certain more objections which were not raised before the Collector. Petitioner cannot be permitted to raise such objections at this stage. Needles to mention that in the event of any election petition at the instance of the petitioner, this order shall not come in the way of the petitioner. All contentions are kept open.

. Both the petitions are thus, dismissed in limine with no order as to costs.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)